p 7E

Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1929.
(V. P)

File No. 25-29

Serial Nos. 1, 4

Later References
LQ 23-34(1-3)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for August 1929.

SUBJECT

Silent-annual of a village panchayet ~~clerk~~ Inspector on Rs 40/- and a clerk on Rs 30/- per mensem for the Peruzapalem Sub Taluk Office.

LL 4

To The Rev. Comm.
" D.C, Nellore
" D.C's of Kolar & Chitaldrug Dts
" Compts.

No. L. 1165-69 dt 13-8-1929.
Sanctions the ——

MAP 2/18.

No. C.5392/28-29.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 19th July *1929*

24 REV. SEC.

GEN 4572

25 JULY 1929

L. S. G. Branch

V.P 25-29

Sl. 1

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The General
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No.D(2)-4995-28 dated 22nd June 1929, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, requesting sanction to the entertainment of a Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- and a clerk on Rs.30/- per mensem to the Periyapatna Sub Taluk Office, I have the honour to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner as to the necessity for the establishment. The other Sub Taluks which have a larger number of clerks, have a separate establishment viz., one Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- and one Village Panchayet Clerk on Rs.20/-. The same staff may be given to Periyapatna Sub Taluk also as the Deputy Commissioner states that the Panchayet work is not being properly attended to in this Sub Taluk. The extra cost involved in this behalf may be met out of the savings under 30 - 5 (i) Establishment for 1929-30 as the Village Panchayet Inspectors are not drawing the maximum pay of the post in all cases.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the station.

1) Draft sanc covering order. 2) Which it is the idea to do away with separate Panchayat Inspectors. It is proposed in Mysore to appoint a separate Inspector for Panchayat work in his letter of the Revenue Commissioner has stated that Mr D'Souza Amildar

BR

Serial No.

attend to the V P work satisfactorily
Also for consideration whether
this could be allowed.

3) Yes) 28/7

4) As it is proposed to do ~~away~~ with the Panchayet Inspr's in Kolar & Chittoor Dists it is likely that some of these officers will be released & they would become available to meet with such requisitions. Such requisitions may be collected for taking action after the issue of orders in the above file

Sec. 27/7

5) Second number.

6) Yes
CSS
27/7 DW 29/7.

7) Please note & take action in this
28/7

8) The order directing the abolition of the posts of Village Panchayet Inspectors in the Kolar & the Chittoor Districts has been issued. Vide G.O. no. L1041-50-V.P 45-28-6 dt 6-8-29

H Z

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
27 JULY 1929
No. 454

WD.01684—GPB—30,000—28-4-29

457 L. (3

General and Revenue Secretariat | V P File No. 28 | App. F. III—2] of 1929

Serial No.

9) The proposal made in the present file is that a post of Village panchayat Inspector for the Periyapatna Sub Taluk with the necessary establishment may be sanctioned. There are no other places at present for which such appointments are necessary If the creation of the posts recommended by the Revenue Commissioner is approved, the Dy: Commr., Mysore District may be requested to provide for the officials to be thrown out in the Kolar & Chitledrug Districts, while filling up the newly created posts

10) Draft G.O. submd for Consideration

6/8/29 (Jun Secy)

7/8.

Second member.

7/8

7/8

4- no 2 M63—69 of 1328-29. 5/8 we. respe see yesterday Revenue, Commn sanctions the proposal upto Rs 40 only

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 8 AUG. 1929 No. 1325

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Letter No.D.2-4995-28 dated 22nd June 1929, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Establishment for the Village Panchayet work in the Periyapatna Sub Taluk.

With reference to your No.D.2-Dis.1530/28-29 dated 6th March 1929, stating that in view of the reduction of hands in the Taluk establishment, the Village Panchayet work in the Periyapatna Sub Taluk may be distributed among the existing staff, I have the honour to state that I inspected the Sub Taluk office on 2nd June 1929 and found that the Village Panchayet work in this Sub Taluk is not receiving adequate attention for lack of the necessary establishment. Unless there is constant supervision over the work of the Village Panchayets, it is likely to fall into arrears both in collection and other matters.

2. As the Deputy Amildar and the Revenue Inspectors have got multifarious duties to discharge, they cannot be expected to give satisfactory attention to this work.

3. In these circumstances, I request you to kindly move the Government to sanction an establishment comprising a Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- and a clerk on Rs.30/- per mensem from 1st July 1929, for this Sub Taluk.

" C o p y "

For Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

5

G.R..S VI G 34J

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 457 .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 13-8-1929

Signed by M. T. K. S Iyer

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette.
English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

Section V.P.

File No. 25-29

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Letter no: C 5392/28-2, dated the 19/24th July 1929, from the Revenue Commr. in Mysore, soliciting under the circumstances explained sanction to the entertainment of one Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs 40/- & one Village Panchayet Clerk on Rs 20/- per mensem in the Periyapatna Sub Order Thereon No.
Taluk Office, the extra cost on this account being met out of savings under "30-S-(i) Esst" in the State current year's budget

P.F.O.

To Order No: 1165-69
Dy 13-8-1929

The proposal of
the Revenue Commr
is sanctioned. For the post of the Village Panchayat
Inspector One of the Inspectors to be thrown out in the Kolar
Chittledrug Division G.O. No: L 10141-50-V-P 45-28-1868-29
may be appointed in Consultation with the Dy
Commrs concerned

Dy
Genl Secy: & Govt

To
The Revenue Commr in mysore.
" Dy-Commr. mysore District
" Dy-Commr of Kolar & Chittledrug Districts.
" Comptroller to Govt.

Copy to Rev-Branch

25/7/29

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-28

7

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No.C. 5382/29-30 dated, the 19/24th July 1929, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, soliciting, under the circumstances explained, sanction to the entertainment of one Village Panchayat Inspector on Rs.40/- and one Village Panchayat Clerk on Rs.20/- per mensem in the Periyapatna Sub Taluk Office, the extra cost on this account being met out of savings under "30-5 (1) Cutch" in the current year's State Budget.

ORDER NO. 1165-1169/V.P.25-29-4

Dated, Bangalore, the 13th August 1929.

The proposal of the Revenue Commissioner is sanctioned. For the post of the Village Panchayat Inspector, one of the Inspectors to be thrown out in the Kolar and Chitaldrug Districts under Government Order No. R. 1041-50/V.A.-25-26-6 dated, the 6th August 1929 may be appointed in consultation with the Deputy Commissioners concerned.

for General Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
" Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
" Deputy Commissioners of Kolar and Chitaldrug Districts.
" Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

K. .R.7.
10/8.